arXiv:cond-mat/0212008v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 30 Nov 2002

Comment on “Highly Extended Image States around Nanotubes”

In their Letter [1], Granger *et.al.* have discussed the image states around carbon nanotubes. To do that, they derive the interaction potential $V(\rho) = \frac{2q^2}{\pi a} \sum\limits_{n=1,3,5,\cdots} li[(\frac{a}{\rho})^n]$ between a nanotube of radius a and an electron at a distance ρ from its axis. Then, they formally introduce the effective interaction $V_{eff}(\rho) = V(\rho) + \frac{l^2-\frac{1}{4}}{2\mu\rho}$ and give the transverse Schrödinger equation

$$\{\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} + 2\mu[E_{nl} - V_{eff}(\rho)\}\psi_{nl}(\rho) = 0. \tag{1}$$

In our viewpoint, the interaction potential U between a nanotube and an electron must be the function of ρ, ϕ and z in terms of the lattice structure of the carbon nanotube. Here ρ, ϕ and z are the components of cylindrical coordinate system whose z-axis is the tube axis. Besides, U satisfies $U(\rho, \phi + 2\pi j_1/N, z + j_1\tau + j_2 T) = U(\rho, \phi, z)$ because the nanotube's symmetry[2, 3]. Here j_1, j_2 are integer numbers, and N, τ, T are characteristic quantities of the nanotube, e.g., for (10,10) nanotube, $N = 20, \tau = 1.23\text{Å}, T = 2\tau$. When $\rho \gg a$, it seems rational to approximate $U(\rho, \phi, z) \approx V(\rho)$. But, there is no reason to think $U(\rho, \phi, z) \approx V(\rho)$ when $\rho \sim a$. Therefore, we believe that the energies E_{nl} obtained from Eq.(1) are merely valid for $n, l \gg 1$.

If we know $U(\rho, \phi, z)$, we can calculate the energies of image states in principle. From the periodical structure of U, we can obtain the general Bloch's theorem[3]

$$\begin{aligned} &H\varphi(\rho, \phi, z) = E\varphi(\rho, \phi, z), \\ &\varphi(\rho, \phi + \tfrac{2\pi j_1}{N}, z + j_1\tau + j_2 T) = e^{i[\frac{2\pi l j_1}{N} + \kappa(j_1\tau + j_2 T)]}\varphi(\rho, \phi, z), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $l = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1$ because of the periodical boundary condition along circumference and $0 \leq k < 2\pi/T$. Adopting the thought of plane wave expansions [4], $\varphi_{nl\kappa}(\rho, \phi, z) = \sum\limits_{j_1 j_2} C_{j_1 j_2}\chi_{nl\kappa}(\rho) e^{i[(l + j_1 N - j_2 N\tau/T)\phi + (\kappa + 2\pi j_2/T)z]}$, we substitute it in $H\varphi_{nl\kappa}(\rho, \phi, z) = E_{nl\kappa}\varphi(\rho, \phi, z)$ and obtain the transverse Schrödinger equation

$$det[(\mathcal{H}_{m_1 m_2 j_1 j_2} - E_{nl\kappa}\delta_{j_1 m_1}\delta_{j_2 m_2})\chi_{nl\kappa}(\rho)] = 0, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{j_1 j_2 m_1 m_2} = \mathcal{T}_{j_1 j_2}\delta_{j_1 m_1}\delta_{j_2 m_2} + \mathcal{U}_{j_1 j_2 m_1 m_2}$, $\mathcal{T}_{j_1 j_2} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}[\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} + \frac{d}{\rho d\rho} - \frac{(l + j_1 N - \frac{j_2 N\tau}{T})^2}{\rho^2} - (\kappa + \frac{2\pi j_2}{T})^2]$, and $\mathcal{U}_{j_1 j_2 m_1 m_2} = \frac{N}{2\pi T}\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^T dz U(\rho, \phi, z) e^{i[(j_1 - m_1) - \frac{(j_2 - m_2)\tau}{T}]N\phi + \frac{i2\pi(j_2 - m_2)z}{T}}$.

Hence, the key problem is to determine the potential $U(\rho, \phi, z)$. It is an extremely difficult project beyond this comment.

Z. C. Tu[1] and Z. C. Ou-Yang[1,2]

[1]Institute of Theoretical Physics
The Chinese Academy of Sciences
P.O.Box 2735 Beijing 100080, China
[2]Center for Advanced Study
Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

PACS numbers: 61.46.+w, 34.60.+z, 34.80.Lx, 36.10.Ck

[1] B. E. Granger, P. Král, H. R. Sadeghpour, and M. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 135506 (2002).

[2] R. Saito, M. S. Dresselhaus, and G. Dresselhaus, *Physical Properties of Carbon Nanotubes* (Imperial College Press, London, 1998).

[3] Z. C. Tu and Z. C. Ou-Yang, cond-mat/0211658; cond-mat/0212003.

[4] J. Callaway, *Quantum Theory of the Solid State* (Academic Press, Inc., London, 1991).